



Images as functions



What is a digital image?

- Digital images:
 - Sample the 2D space on a regular grid
 - Quantize each sample

- For samples being Δ apart:

$$f[i, j] = \text{Quantize} \{ f(i \Delta, j \Delta) \}$$

- Image \rightarrow matrix of integer values

$i \downarrow$	62	79	23	119	120	105	4	0
	10	10	9	62	12	78	34	0
	10	53	197	46	46	0	0	46
	176	135	5	133	191	68	0	49
	2	1	1	29	26	37	0	77
	0	39	144	147	167	102	62	208
	255	252	0	166	123	62	0	31
	166	63	127	17	1	0	99	30

Image Noise

- Images are corrupted by “noise” mostly during acquisition
- Signal Processing techniques can be used to model and remove this noise
- Image Restoration: removal of noise
 - Additive Noise
 - Salt & Pepper Noise

Additive Noise

- In the additive noise model the signal is corrupted with random fluctuations

$$\hat{I}(i, j) = I(i, j) + n(i, j)$$

Salt and Pepper Noise

- Salt and pepper noise can model errors introduced by the acquisition process. (x and y are random variables in the range $(0,1)$ and l is a constant)

$$\hat{I}(i, j) = \begin{cases} I(i, j) & x < l \\ i_{\min} + y(i_{\max} - i_{\min}) & x \geq l \end{cases}$$

Linear Filters

- **General process:**
 - Form new image whose pixels are a weighted sum of original pixel values, using the same set of weights at each point.
- **Properties**
 - Output is a linear function of the input
 - Output is a shift-invariant function of the input (i.e. shift the input image two pixels to the left, the output is shifted two pixels to the left)
- **Example: smoothing by averaging**
 - form the average of pixels in a neighbourhood
- **Example: smoothing with a Gaussian**
 - form a weighted average of pixels in a neighbourhood
- **Example: finding a derivative**
 - form a weighted average of pixels in a neighbourhood

Image Filtering




- Noise reduction/image restoration



- Structure extraction



Gaussian Noise



$$f(x, y) = \overbrace{\hat{f}(x, y)}^{\text{Ideal Image}} + \overbrace{\eta(x, y)}^{\text{Noise process}}$$

Gaussian i.i.d. ("white") noise:
 $\eta(x, y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$

Removing noise

- Basic assumption
 - Noise process is independent, identically distributed
 - Image has a more regular underlying structure
 - Consider measuring the speed of wind or the value of a stock
- By considering larger neighborhoods we can separate the signal from the noise

Moving Average in 2D

$F[x, y]$

$G[x, y]$

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	0	10	20	30	30				

Correlation Filtering

- Say the averaging window size is $2k+1 \times 2k+1$:

$$G[i, j] = \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} \sum_{u=-k}^k \sum_{v=-k}^k F[i+u, j+v]$$

- Different weights depending on neighboring pixel's relative position:

$$G[i, j] = \sum_{u=-k}^k \sum_{v=-k}^k H[u, v] F[i+u, j+v]$$



- Correlation filtering:

$$G = H \otimes F$$

Correlation Filtering

$$G[i, j] = \sum_{u=-k}^k \sum_{v=-k}^k H[u, v] F[i + u, j + v]$$

- Filtering an image
 - Replace each pixel by a weighted combination of its neighbors.
 - The filter “kernel” or “mask” is the prescription for the weights in the linear combination.



Convolution

- Represent these weights as an image, H
- H is usually called the **kernel**
- Operation is called **convolution**
 - it's associative

- Result is:

$$R_{ij} = \sum_{u,v} H_{i-u,j-v} F_{uv}$$



- Notice the order of indices
 - all examples can be put in this form
 - it's a result of the derivation expressing any shift-invariant linear operator as a convolution.

Convolution

- Convolution:
 - Flip the filter in both dimensions (bottom to top, right to left)
 - Then apply cross-correlation

$$G[i, j] = \sum_{u=-k}^k \sum_{v=-k}^k H[u, v] F[i - u, j - v]$$

$$G = H * F$$



- Convolution of continuous signals

19
$$g(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u, v) f(x - u, y - v) dudv$$

Some facts about Convolution

- A linear operation
 - $X^*(aY + bZ) = a(X^*Y) + b(X^*Z)$
- An associative operation
 - $X^*(Y^*Z) = (X^*Y)^*Z$
- Convolution in the spatial or time domain corresponds to multiplication in the frequency domain.

Computation

- Convolution is a fairly expensive operation requiring a large number of computations on typical images.
- Many computer architectures provide specialized instructions for these kinds of operations.

Common Kernels

- Two convolution kernels that are commonly used for noise reduction are
 - The mean kernel
 - The Gaussian Kernel


Mean Filtering

- Smoothing by averaging

$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$
$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$
$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{9}$


- Entries must add up to one. Why?

Example: Smoothing by Averaging



Smoothing with a Gaussian

- Smoothing with an average actually doesn't compare at all well with a defocussed lens
 - Most obvious difference is that a single point of light viewed in a defocussed lens looks like a fuzzy blob; but the averaging process would give a little square.




- A Gaussian gives a good model of a fuzzy blob

An Isotropic Gaussian


- The picture shows a smoothing kernel proportional to

$$\exp\left(-\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right)$$

(which is a reasonable model of a circularly symmetric fuzzy blob)



Smoothing with a Gaussian



Differentiation and convolution

- Recall

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(x + \varepsilon, y) - f(x, y)}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

- Now this is linear and shift invariant, so must be the result of a convolution.

- We could approximate this as


$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \approx \frac{f(x_{n+1}, y) - f(x_n, y)}{\Delta x}$$

(which is obviously a convolution; it's not a very good way to do things, as we shall see)


A Noise Model

- Simplest noise model
 - independent stationary additive Gaussian noise
 - the noise value at each pixel is given by an independent draw from the same normal probability distribution
- Issues
 - this model allows noise values that could be greater than maximum camera output or less than zero
 - for small standard deviations, this isn't too much of a problem - it's a fairly good model
 - independence may not be justified (e.g. damage to lens)
 - may not be stationary (e.g. thermal gradients in the ccd)

$\sigma=1$




$\sigma=16$



Finite differences and noise

- Finite difference filters respond strongly to noise
 - obvious reason: image noise results in pixels that look very different from their neighbours
- Generally, the larger the noise the stronger the response
- What is to be done?
 - intuitively, most pixels in images look quite a lot like their neighbours
 - this is true even at an edge; along the edge they're similar, across the edge they're not
 - suggests that smoothing the image should help, by forcing pixels different to their neighbours (=noise pixels?) to look more like neighbours

Finite differences responding to noise



Increasing noise ->
(this is zero mean additive gaussian noise)

The response of a linear filter to noise

- Do only stationary independent additive Gaussian noise with zero mean (non-zero mean is easily dealt with)
- **Mean:**
 - output is a weighted sum of inputs
 - so we want mean of a weighted sum of zero mean normal random variables
 - must be zero

- **Variance:**

- recall


- variance of a sum of random variables is sum of their variances
- variance of constant times random variable is constant² times variance


- then if s is noise variance and kernel is K , variance of response is


$$\sigma^2 \sum_{u,v} K_{u,v}^2$$

Filter responses are correlated

- over scales similar to the scale of the filter
- Filtered noise is sometimes useful
 - looks like some natural textures, can be used to simulate fire, etc.










Smoothing reduces noise

- Generally expect pixels to “be like” their neighbours
 - surfaces turn slowly
 - relatively few reflectance changes
- Generally expect noise processes to be independent from pixel to pixel
- Implies that smoothing suppresses noise, for appropriate noise models
- Scale
 - the parameter in the symmetric Gaussian
 - as this parameter goes up, more pixels are involved in the average
 - and the image gets more blurred
 - and noise is more effectively suppressed

$\sigma=0.05$

$\sigma=0.1$




$\sigma=0.2$



no
smoothing

The effects of smoothing

Each row shows smoothing with gaussians of different width; each column shows different realisations of an image of gaussian noise.



$\sigma=1$ pixel



$\sigma=2$ pixels

Separable filters



- Some 2D image filters can actually be implemented as 2 1D filters - one in the horizontal direction followed by another in the vertical direction.
- This can significantly lower the computational complexity of the operation

Gaussian Filtering

- Separable filter

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Gaussian Filtering (II)

- $\sigma = 1$

$$\frac{1}{10.7}$$


1.3	3.2	3.8	3.2	1.3
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

$$\frac{1}{115}$$


2	4	5	4	2
4	9	12	9	4
5	12	15	12	5
4	9	12	9	4
2	4	5	4	2

Gaussian Filtering (III)

Frequency Response of Box Filter



Frequency Response of Gaussian Filter





Gaussian Filtering (IV)


- Sampling theorem
- To keep the energy in 98.76% area
sigma > 0.8
- Repeated averaging

Example




- $\sigma = 1.0, \sigma = 2.0, \sigma = 4.0$




Sharpening Filter




Original




Sharpening filter



Sharpening Filter





before



after

Application: High Frequency Emphasis

Original





High Frequency Emphasis
+
Histogram Equalization

Non-Linear Filters: Median Filter

- Replace each pixel by the median of its neighbors.

- Comparison with mean:




Median Filtering



- Median filtering is a non-linear operation that is particularly effective at removing salt and pepper noise.

Median Filter

Salt and pepper noise



Median filtered



Plots of a row of the image

Median vs. Gaussian Filtering

3x3

5x5

7x7

Gaussian



Median



3x3 Median Filter

123	125	126	130	140
122	124	126	127	135
118	120	150	125	134
119	115	119	123	133
111	116	110	120	130

Neighbourhood values:

115, 119, 120, 123, 124,
125, 126, 127, 150

Median value: 124

Example

